

150		
Title		Click here for the form and documents
Primary Evaluator #1		
Secondary Evaluator #2		
OBNL #1		
OBNL #2		

Relevance					
Criteria	Indicators	Evaluator's comments	Note* Select from the dropdown menu		
1. Consistency of the project with needs.	Does the project address specific needs clearly identified by the main partner?		Please make a selection	Choose a final score according to the suggested value (yellow box)	
	Are the research objectives clearly defined and consistent with the identified needs?				
2. Deliverables and impacts.	Are the expected deliverables relevant and aligned with the project's needs and objectives?		Please make a selection		
	Does the project propose a significant impact and tangible benefits for stakeholders?				
	Does the project propose an original solution?				
3. Social innovation	Does the project follow a clear social innovation approach?		Please make a selection		
	Is the maturity phase of the innovation well demonstrated and justified?				
	Does the project propose solutions that break away from existing ones or significantly improve a current approach?				
4. Partner's engagement.	Does the partner actively collaborate in the design, implementation, and evaluation of the developed solution?		Please make a selection		
	Has a strong and lasting partnership been established, fostering effective ownership of the results?				
	Are concrete measures planned to ensure the adoption and sustainability of the solution?				
		Note globale →	Please make a selection		0

← Don't forget, please

Criteria	Indicators	Evaluator's comments	Note* Select from the dropdown menu		
Scientific approach quality	Are the research problem and objectives relevant?		Please make a selection	Choose a final score according to the suggested value (yellow box)	
	Is the project scientifically valid?				
	Is the project timeline realistic, coherent, and well-structured?				
	Is the methodology appropriate to achieve the expected results?				
Team participation and collaboration	Does the main partner actively participate in every stage of the project (design, implementation, analysis, dissemination)?		Please make a selection		
	Is the team complementary and does it have the necessary expertise to carry out the project?				
Justification of intersectorality	Does the project clearly involve at least two of the three scientific sectors (Nature and Technologies, Health, Society and Culture)?		Please make a selection		
	Is the collaboration between sectors authentic, productive, and integrated into most project stages (design, implementation, analysis, dissemination)?				
	Is the justification for intersectorality convincing and aligned with the project objectives?				
		Overall score →	Please make a selection		0

← Don't forget, please

Is this project recommended for funding?	Faire un choix s.v.p.
--	-----------------------

← Don't forget, please

*Explanation of ratings		
	Description	Weighted scores
Low	Does not meet the requirements of this criterion	0-10
Adequate	Barely meets the requirements	11-20
Good	Correctly meets the requirements	21-30
Very Good	Fully meets the requirements	31-40
Excellent	Exceeds the requirements	41-50