

Competition evaluation committee grid 9 Cross-sectoral participatory research program



2. Project relevance and partner involvement				
Criteria	Indicators	Evaluator's comments	Note* choose from the drop-down menu	Choose a final note according to the suggested color (yellow box)
Relevance to the priorities of the Inclusive Society initiative	Identifying and justifying a partner's need			
	Justification of the theme			
	Justification of the intersectoral approach: how the project meets the conditions linked to the intersectorality criterion, in particular with regard to the relevance of the mobilization of the targeted scientific sectors and the added value that the meeting of these sectors brings to the project.			
Demonstrated innovation in approach, execution and expected results	Justification of the characteristics of the innovation: the project breaks with what already exists, or involves a significant improvement in an approach, service, product or type of organization.			
	Project originality			
Genuine involvement of partners throughout the project	Involvement of partners and lead partner			
	Relevance of the project to the main partner			
	In-kind/cash contribution			
	Participation in knowledge transfer and dissemination of research results within extended networks			
Expected benefits in terms of improved social inclusion and ownership by partners	Project's impact on social inclusion (immediate or expected)			
	Realistic dissemination plan with an impact on social inclusion			
	Appropriation of planned knowledge by the partner and its network			
	Potential impact on future collaborations or funding applications and relevance of knowledge transfer strategies			
		Overall rating	Excellent / 41-50	
3. Scientific evaluation				
Criteria	Indicators	Evaluator's comments	Note* choose from the drop-down menu	Choose a final note according to the suggested color (yellow box)
The quality of the scientific approach	Quality of the problem and relevance of the objectives			
	Quality of methodology			

The feasibility of the project according to the timetable and budget presented requested	Realistic schedule			
	Justified and reasonable financial package			
The expertise of the research team, the complementarity between researchers and partners	Intersectorality and team collaboration			
	Quality of CVs submitted			
	Quality of team composition (presence of necessary expertise and relevant partners)			
		Overall rating		
This project is recommended for funding				
*Explanation of notes	Description	Weighted scores		
	LowDoes not meet the requirements of this criterion	0		10
PassableMeets requirements for accuracy	11	20		
GoodMeets requirements correctly	21	20		
Very goodFully meets requirements	31	40		
	ExcellentExceeds requirements	41		50