

1. Admissibility		
Criterion	Instructions	Evaluator's comments
Researchers in charge are members of an enabling organization		
Intersectoral approach		
Contribution to one of the 4 themes		
Partnership approach		

2. Project's relevance and involvement of partners			
Criterion	Indicator	Evaluator's comments	Score*
Relevance to the priorities of the Inclusive Society initiative	Identification and justification of a need of a partner or partners		
	Justification of theme(s)		
	Justification of intersectoral approach and project's added value		
Demonstrated innovation in terms of the approach, execution and expected results	Justification of innovation phase		
	Originality		
Partners' actual participation throughout the project	Form of participation		
	Contribution in cash / in kind		
	Participation in knowledge transfer activities		
Expected outputs in terms of improved social inclusion, knowledge transfer and expected appropriation by the partners	Potential impact on social inclusion		
	Potential impact on future collaborations and grant applications		
	Relevance of knowledge transfer strategies		
Overall score			

3. Scientific evaluation			
Criterion	Indicator	Evaluator's comments	Score*
Quality of the scientific approach	Quality of the problem and the theoretical framework		
	Relevance of the research question		
	Quality of the methodology		
Feasibility of the project based on presented timetables and requested budget	Realism of the timetable		
	Justified and reasonable funding plan		
The research team's expertise, the complementarity of the researchers and partners	Multidisciplinarity and true collaboration between team members		
	Quality of the CVs		
	Quality of the team,s composition (presence of the required expertise and relevant partners)		
Overall score			

***Scores**

Low	Does not satisfy criteria
Passable	Barely satisfies criteria
Good	Satisfies criteria
Excellent	Exceeds expectations for criteria